Photoredox Decomposition of $(PPh₃)₃Cu^I(\mu$ -Cl)Fe^{III}Cl₃ Induced by **Metal-to-Metal Charge Transfer Excitation**

Horst Kunkely and Arnd Vogler*

Institut fur Anorganische Chemie, Universität Regensburg, Universitätsstrasse 31, 93040 Regensburg, Federal Republic of Germany

Received July 19, 1994

Introduction

The electronic spectra and photochemistry of copper(1) complexes have attracted much interest in recent years.¹⁻³ Metal-centered ds, MLCT, LMCT, IL, and CTTS transitions⁴ of Cu(1) compounds have been identified and characterized. In addition, various Cu(I)/Cu(II) mixed-valence complexes are known to display low-energy MMCT bands in their absorption $~$ spectra.⁵⁻¹⁰ However, these MMCT transitions have not yet been shown to induce any photoreaction. Moreover, while many redox active metals partipicate in optical MMCT not only in mixed-valence^{5,6} but also in heteronuclear complexes, $11,12$ MMCT transitions involving $Cu(I)$ as a donor and a different metal as an acceptor have been identified in solid state materials such as $Cu₂WO₄¹³$ but not in simple binuclear complexes. In the case of binuclear complexes of the type $[(NH₃)₅Co^{III} NH_2(CH_2)_nCH=CH_2Cu^{I14+}$ a photoredox reaction resulting in the formation of Co^{2+} and Cu^{2+} was observed.¹⁴ However, this reaction is not initiated by a MMCT transition. Light absorption is associated with the population of a (Cu to olefin) MLCT state which subsequently undergoes electron transfer to Co(III).

According to these considerations the identification of a photoreactive MMCT state which involves Cu(1) as the donor should be a valuable extension of the photochemistry and spectroscopy of Cu(1) compounds. We explored this possibility and selected the complex $(PPh_3)_3Cu^1(\mu$ -Cl)Fe^{ll1}Cl₃¹⁵ for the present study which is also of interest for other reasons. Cytochrome c oxidase catalyzes the reduction of oxygen to water in biological systems.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ This enzyme contains iron and

- Ford, P. C.; Vogler, A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1993,** *26,* 220.
- Abbreviations: MLCT (metal-to ligand charge-transfer), LMCT (ligand-to-metal charge-transfer), MMCT (metal-to-metal chargetransfer), CTTS (charge transfer-to-solvent), IL (intraligand).
- Hush, N. *S. Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1967,** *8,* 391.
- Robin, M. B.; Day, P. *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.* **1967,** *10,* 247.
- Gagne, R. R.; Koval, C. A,; Smith, T. J.; Cimolino, M. C. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1979,** *101,* 4571.
- Long, R. C.; Hendrickson, D. N. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1983,105,** 1513.
- Westmoreland, T. D.; Wilcox, D. E.; Baldwin, M. J.; Mims, W. B.; Solomon, E. I. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. **1989,** *111,* 6106.
- Harding, C.; McKee, V.; Nelson, J. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1991,** *113,* 9684.
- Vogler, A,; Osman, A. H.; Kunkely, H. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1985,** *64,* 159.
- Vogler, A. In *Photoinduced electron transfer;* Fox, M. A,, Chanon, M., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1988; part D, p 179.
- Blasse, G. *Struct. Bonding* **1991,** *76,* 153.
- Norton, K. A.; Hurst, J. K. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. **1982,** *104,* 5960.
- Saturnino, D. J.; Girardot, P. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1974,** 13, 2914.
- Malmstrom, B. G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1993,** *26,* 332.
- Ferguson-Miller, *S.,* Ed. Minireview Series: Cytochrome Oxidase. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* **1993,** *25(2),* 67-188.
- (18) Brunori, M.; Chance, B., Eds. Cytochrome Oxidase: Structure, Function, and Physiopathology. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **1988,** *550.*

copper which may be bridged by chloride.¹⁹⁻²¹ In addition to the fully reduced, active state (Cu^I/Fe^{II}) and the fully oxidized, resting state (Cu^{II}/Fe^{III}) several semireduced forms involving the combination Cu^{I}/Fe^{III} are known. Although the ligands and the structure of cytochrome c oxidase and (PPh₃)₃Cu^I(μ -Cl)Fe^m- $Cl₃$ are quite different, the latter complex might serve as a simple model for the Cu^I/Fe^{III} CT interaction in the enzyme which has not yet been reported to display a copper-to-iron MMCT absorption band.

Experimental Section

Materials. The compound $(PPh_3)_3Cu^1(\mu$ -C1)Fe^{III}Cl₃ was prepared according to a published procedure.¹⁵ $CH₂Cl₂$ was spectrograde.

Photolyses. The photolyses were carried out at room temperature in 1-cm spectrophotometer cells. The light source was a Hanovia Xel Hg **977** B-1 (1kW) lamp. Monochromatic light was obtained by means of a Schoeffel GM 250-1 high-intensity monochromator. For quantum yield determinations the complex concentrations were such as to have essentially complete lightabsorption. The total amount of photolysis was limited to less than 5% to avoid light absorption by the photoproduct. Absorbed light intensities were determined by a Polytec pyroelectric radiometer, which was calibrated and equipped with a Rkp-345 detector.

Progress of photolysis was monitored by UV-visible spectrophotometry, using a 8452 Hewlett Packard diode array spectrophotometer.

Analyses. On the basis of the spectral changes only the photoproducts could not be identified. However, a qualitative and quantitative analysis showed that the photolysis led to a photoredox decomposition with the formation of Cu(II) and Fe(II). For this analysis 5 mL of a 5 \times 10⁻³ M solution of (PPh₃)₃Cu^I(μ -Cl)Fe^{III}Cl₃ was photolyzed for 10 min under argon with $\lambda_{\text{irr}} = 546$ nm. According to the decrease of the extinction at this wavelength 9.9% (4.9-10⁻⁴ M) of the binuclear complex was photodecomposed. To 2.5 mL of the photolyzed solution was added 50 mL of cold pentane. A precipitate was formed, separated by filtration, dried, and dissolved in 2 mL of acetone. Upon addition of 4 mL of 0.1 M aqueous HCl, a white precipitate consisting of $(PPh_3)_{3-}$ CuCl and PPh₃ formed. The remaining solution was yellow owing to the presence of a large excess of iron(II1) chloro complexes. This solution was analyzed for Cu^{2+} and Fe^{2+} spectrophotometrically as Cu- $(NH_3)_4^{2+}$ $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 616 \text{ nm}; \epsilon = 63 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and Fe(*o*-phen)₃²⁺ $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 510 \text{ nm}, \epsilon = 11500)$ ²² respectively. With regard to the original solution the analysis yielded concentrations of 3.06 \times 10⁻⁴ M Cu²⁺ and 4.08×10^{-4} M Fe²⁺.

Results and Discussion

The absorption spectrum of $(PPh_3)_3Cu^{I}(\mu$ -Cl)Fe^{III}Cl₃²³ (Figure 1) in CH₂Cl₂ displays band maxima at $\lambda = 540$ ($\epsilon = 90$ M⁻¹) cm^{-1}), 364 (6000), 312 (sh, 6700), and 256 nm (sh, 37000). In solvents of low polarity the binuclear complex was thermally stable²⁴ but light sensitive. The spectral changes which accompanied the photolysis (Figure 1) were independent of the irradiating wavelength. The long-wavelength band at $\lambda = 540$ nm which is indicative for the presence of the $Cu¹ClFe^{III}$ moiety (see below) disappeared completely upon product formation. The quantum yield for disappearance of the binuclear complex was $\phi = 0.02$ at $\lambda_{irr} = 546$ nm. According to a quantitative analysis the photodecomposition of $(PPh_3)_3Cu^I(\mu-CI)Fe^{III}Cl₃$ led to the formation of nearly stoichiometric amounts of Cu2+ and

- (19) Scott, R. A.; Li. P. M.; Chan, S. I. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sei.* **1988,** *550,*
- (20) George, G. N.; Cramer, **S.** P.; Frey, T. G.; Prince, R. C. *Biochim.* 53. *Biophys. Acta* **1993,** *1142,* 240.
- (21) Lauraeus, M.; Powers, L.; Chance, B.; Wikström, M. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **1993,** *51,* 274.
- (22) Krumholz, P. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. **1953** *75,* 2163.
- (23) Absorption maxima were partially reported in ref 14.
- (24) In polar solvents the binuclear complex dissociates into an ion pair.14

Kutal, C. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1990,** *99,* 213.

Horvith, *0.;* Stevenson, K. L. *Charge Transfer Photochemistry of Coordination Compounds;* VCH: New York, 1993.

Figure 1. Spectral changes during the photolysis of 2.11×10^{-4} M $(PPh₃)₃Cu^T(\mu$ -Cl)Fe^{III}Cl₃ in CH₂Cl₂ after 0 (a) and 4 min (c) irradiation time with $\lambda_{i\pi}$ > 340 nm (Osram **HBO** 100 W/2 lamp), 1-cm cell.

Fe2+. **A** blind test showed that the dark solution did not contain Cu^{2+} and Fe^{2+} .

The absorption spectrum of $(PPh_3)_3Cu^1(\mu$ -Cl)Fe^{III}Cl₃ (Figure 1) contains the same strong absorption bands characteristic of its mononuclear components. $Cu(PPh₃)₃Cl$ shows intraligand absorptions^{1,25,26} at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 261$ ($\epsilon = 25$ 300) and 274 nm (sh, 22 600) while the spectrum of FeCl₄⁻ is characterized by longwavelength LMCT bands at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 364$ (7350) and 317 nm $(7600).^{27,28}$ It follows that the metal-metal interaction in the binuclear complex is rather weak and the metal centers exist in

(26) Fife, D. J.; Morse, K. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984, 23, 1545.**

well-defined oxidation states.^{6,7,11,12} However, (PPh₃)₃Cu¹⁻ $(\mu$ -C1)Fe^{III}Cl₃ displays an additional band at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 540 \text{ nm}$ (Figure **1)** which is logically assigned to a MMCT transition from the reducing Cu^I to the oxidizing Fe³⁺ ion. The ability of Cu(I) to serve as a donor in CT transitions is well-known. Copper(1) polypyridyl complexes display low-energy MLCT bands in their absorption spectra.29

The MMCT assignment of the long-wavelength absorption of $(PPh_3)_3Cu^I(\mu$ -Cl)Fe^{III}Cl₃ is also supported by the photochemical behavior of this complex. The MMCT excitation leads to a photoredox decomposition with the formation of Cu(I1) and Fe(II). This reaction should be facilitated by the structural changes with accompany the MMCT transition. **A** relatively large reorganizational energy is indicated by a remarkable expansion of the Fe-Cl bonds $(\Delta = 0.11 \text{ Å})^{30}$ which occurs upon reduction of the FeCl₄⁻ moiety to FeCl₄²⁻. Moreover, the oxidation of $Cu(I)$ to $Cu(II)$ is certainly also associated with a considerable distortion in the CT state.29 However, the relatively small quantum yield of the photoredox decomposition suggests that back electron transfer which regenerates the starting binuclear complex is still rather efficient.

Acknowledgment. Support of this research by the DFG is gratefully acknowledged .

IC940849E

- (27) Day, P.; Jørgensen, C. K. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 6226.
- **(28) Deaton, J. C.; Gebhard, M. S.;** Solomon, **E.** I. *Inorg. Chem.* **1989,28, 877.**
- **(29) Casadonte, D. J.; McMillin, D. R.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1987,109,331.**
- **(30) Lauher, J.** W.; **Ibers, J. A.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1975,** *14,* **348.**

^{(25) (}a) Gmtsch, P. A.; Kutal, C. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1979,101,4228.** (b) **Grutsch, P. A.; Kutal, C.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1977,** *99,* **6460.**